

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
097023,602	02/13/98	DOUVEN		L 3	4.140
		QM11/0402	7	EXAMINER	
US PHILIPS (CORPORATE PA	JURP ATENT COUNSEI	••	·	PAYER, H	
580 WHITE PL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95) 1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/023,602

Applicant(s)

Douven et al.

Examiner

Hwei-Siu Payer

Group Art Unit 3724



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 16, 1999	·
☑ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve the solve of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review PTO-948
∑ The drawing(s) filed on Feb 13, 1998 is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	io
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	vunder 35 U.S.C. ₹ 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	
☐ received.	, ,
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Attachment: Fig. 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5, 329, 702	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3724

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 2-16-1999 has been entered.

Drawings Objection

- 1. The drawings are objected to because Fig.2 is an admitted prior art and should be so labeled. Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the removable portion (cited in claims 2 and 5) and the cut-off switch (cited in claim 3) must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3724

(1) In claim 2, line 2 is vague. It is not clear what phrase should be deleted. It is suggested "[" be deleted.

(2) In claim 2, line 5, "the shaver body portion" has no antecedent basis.

(3) In claim 5, line 10, "the shaver" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Uchiyama et al.

Uchiyama et al. shows the claimed shaving apparatus in which the element (18) that is inside the annular ring of the guard (12) is considered to define a first portion of the peripheral surface of the head assembly.

Claim Rejection - U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3724

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchiyama et al. in

view of Zuurveen.

Uchiyama et al. shows the claimed shaving apparatus except the rotary cutter (40) is not

resiliently biased against the guard (12). Zuurveen shows a shaving apparatus comprising a spring

(7") for biasing a rotary cutter (7) against a guard (8). It would have been obvious to one skilled

in the art to modify Uchiyama et al. by having the rotary cutter (40) resiliently biased against the

guard (12) to facilitate a close shave during shaving operation as taught by Zuurveen.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

2. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Remarks

Applicant argues, at page of 5 of the amendment, that Uchiyama et al. fails to show the

structure recited in the claims. However, Applicant does not specifically point out the structure

Art Unit: 3724

not shown by the Uchiyama et al. reference. To expedite the prosecution of the application, Examiner has enclosed, with this Office action, Fig.2 of the Uchiyama et al. reference indicating the claimed first and second portions.

Action Made Final

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Serial Number: 09/023,602

Art Unit: 3724

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu Payer her telephone number is (703) 308-1405. She can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703) 308-2187.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [rinaldi.rada@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Group FAX number is (703) 305-3579. Any transmission which applicant does not want to be considered as an official response should be clearly marked as "DRAFT".

H. Payer April 1, 1999

> **Hwei-Siu** Payer **Primary Exam**iner